# REEXAMINATION MATHEMATICAL TECHNIQUES FOR IMAGE ANALYSIS

Course code: 8D020. Date: Wednesday April 11, 2012. Time: 09h00-12h00. Place: MA 1.46.

#### Read this first!

- Write your name and student ID on each paper.
- The exam consists of 4 problems. The maximum credit for each item is indicated in the margin.
- Motivate your answers. The use of course notes is allowed. The use of problem companion ("opgaven- en tentamenbundel"), calculator, laptop, or any other equipment, is *not* allowed.
- You may provide your answers in Dutch or English.
- Feel free to ask questions on linguistic matters or if you suspect an erroneous problem formulation.

#### Good luck!

(30) 1. INNER PRODUCT SPACE

Consider the set

$$V = \{ f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \mid f \in C(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } f(x) = \langle k_x | f \rangle \}$$

in which  $k_x \in V$  is a particular element of V for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\langle | \rangle : C(\mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$  is a complex inner product on  $C(\mathbb{R})$ . We take it for granted that  $C(\mathbb{R})$  is a complex inner product space given the usual definitions of function addition and complex scalar multiplication.

**a.** Show that the function  $k_x$  has the following properties:

- $(2\frac{1}{2})$  **a1.**  $k_x(y) = \langle k_y | k_x \rangle;$
- $(2\frac{1}{2})$  **a2.**  $k_x(y) = \overline{k_y(x)}$  (in which  $\overline{z}$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ );
- $(2\frac{1}{2})$  **a3.**  $k_x(x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The following diagrams are abstract representations for  $k_x(y)$  and  $k_y(x)$ :



- $(2\frac{1}{2})$  **a4.** Explain what it means to say that these diagrams are mutually consistent.
- (10) **b.** Show that V is a complex vector space. IF HINT: USE THE LINEAR SUBSPACE THEOREM.

Below we take  $\langle | \rangle : C(\mathbb{R}) \times C(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$  to be the *standard* complex inner product on  $C(\mathbb{R})$ .

- (5) **c.** Explain what this means by giving the explicit formula for  $\langle f|g \rangle$ .
- (5) **d.** Explain and prove the following diagrammatic equality:



IN HINT: THE UNLABELED CENTRAL DOT ON THE R.H.S. REPRESENTS AN INTEGRATION DUMMY.

### ÷

(35) 2. Algebra (Exam March 8, 2005, Problem 1)

In this problem we consider the set  $\mathcal{G} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{R}^2$  endowed with certain internal and external operators. We identify an element  $\theta \in \mathcal{G}$  with its column representation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ :

$$\theta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
 in which  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  (the "components of  $\theta$ ").

To begin with we interpret  $\mathcal{G}$  as the linear space over  $\mathbb{R}$  by introducing vector addition and scalar multiplication, in the usual way. The vector sum of  $\eta, \theta \in \mathcal{G}$  is written as  $\eta + \theta$ , and the scalar multiple of  $\theta \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  as  $\lambda \theta$ .

(5) **a.** Explain what is meant by "the usual way" by indicating explicitly how  $\eta + \theta$  and  $\lambda \theta$  are defined in terms of their components.

We furthermore introduce an algebraic operation, which we shall refer to as "multiplication". The "product" of  $\eta, \theta \in \mathcal{G}$  is simply written as  $\eta \theta$ , for which we agree that, in terms of components,

$$\eta \, \theta \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \left( egin{array}{c} \eta_1 \, heta_1 \ \eta_1 \, heta_2 + \eta_2 \, heta_1 \end{array} 
ight) \in \mathcal{G} \, .$$

(5) **b.** Prove that  $\mathcal{G}$ , endowed with the aforementioned multiplication operation, constitutes an algebra. Proceed as follows (without proof we take it for granted that  $\mathcal{G}$  is a linear space, cf. part a):

**b1.** Prove that  $\forall \eta, \theta, \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \quad (\eta \, \theta) \, \gamma = \eta \, (\theta \, \gamma).$ 

- **b2.** Prove that  $\forall \eta, \theta, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}$   $\eta(\theta + \gamma) = (\eta \theta) + (\eta \gamma)$ .
- **b3.** Prove that  $\forall \eta, \theta, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}$   $(\eta + \theta) \gamma = (\eta \gamma) + (\theta \gamma)$ .
- **b4.** Prove that  $\forall \eta, \theta \in \mathcal{G}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$   $\lambda(\eta \theta) = (\lambda \eta) \theta = \eta (\lambda \theta).$

- (5) **c.** Show that, moreover, there exists a unit element  $1 \in \mathcal{G}$  (not to be confused with the number  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ), and give its column representation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
- (5) **d.** Is multiplication on  $\mathcal{G}$  commutative? If so, prove, if not, give a counter example.

We now consider the subset  $\mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}$ , defined by  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\theta \in \mathcal{G} \mid \theta^2 = 0\}$ . (With  $\theta^2$  we mean  $\theta \theta$ .)

(5) **e.** Give an explicit characterization of  $\mathcal{G}_0$  by indicating what the column representation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of an arbitrary element  $\theta \in \mathcal{G}_0$  looks like.

Finally we introduce on  $\mathcal{G}$  a *degenerate*, non-negative, symmetric, real valued, bilinear form. For  $\eta, \theta \in \mathcal{G}$  this is indicated by  $\langle \eta | \theta \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ . In terms of the components of  $\eta$  and  $\theta$  we define this as follows:

$$\langle \eta | \theta \rangle = \eta_1 \, \theta_1$$

Caveat: The adjective "degenerate" indicates that  $\langle | \rangle$  does not define an inner product.

(5) **f.** Explain the adjective "degenerate" by explaining why  $\langle | \rangle$  does not define an inner product.

We now consider the subset  $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}$ , defined by  $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{\theta \in \mathcal{G} \mid \langle \theta | \theta \rangle = 1\}.$ 

(5) **g.** Prove that  $\mathcal{G}_1$  constitutes a group with respect to multiplication. Proceed as follows:

**g1.** Show that, if  $\eta, \theta \in \mathcal{G}_1$  then  $\eta \theta \in \mathcal{G}_1$  ("closure").

- **g2.** Show that  $\forall \eta, \theta, \gamma \in \mathcal{G}_1$   $(\eta \theta) \gamma = \eta (\theta \gamma)$  ("associativity").
- **g3.** Show that the unit element of part c satisfies  $1 \in \mathcal{G}_1$ .

**g4.** Show that, given  $\theta \in \mathcal{G}_1$ , there exists an inverse  $\theta^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}_1$ , such that  $\theta \theta^{-1} = \theta^{-1} \theta = 1 \in \mathcal{G}_1$ . Give the column representation of  $\theta^{-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in terms of the components of  $\theta$ .

## ÷

### (35) 3. FOURIER TRANSFORMATION AND DISTRIBUTION THEORY

Consider the generalized function f defining a tempered distribution  $T_f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , given by

$$f(x, y) = u(x, y) \,\delta(y - mx) \,,$$

in which  $\delta$  denotes the *one-dimensional* Dirac function,  $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  is a given function with well-defined Fourier transform  $\hat{u} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ , and  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  is a parameter. That is, for  $\phi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,

$$T_f(\phi) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, y) \, \phi(x, y) \, dx dy \, .$$

Note that the support of f (the part of the (x, y)-domain where f(x, y) may not vanish) is effectively the line given by  $\ell : y = mx$ . For this reason we define the function  $u_m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$  by

$$u_m(x) = u(x, mx) \,.$$

In this problem the two-dimensional Fourier transform is defined as

$$\hat{f}(\omega,\nu) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-i\omega x - i\nu y} f(x,y) \, dx dy.$$

- (10) **a.** Show that  $\hat{f}(\omega, \nu) = \hat{u}_m(\omega + m\nu)$ .
- $(2\frac{1}{2})$  **b1.** Sketch the graph of  $\ell$  in the (x, y)-plane.
- $(2\frac{1}{2})$  **b2.** Express the angle  $\alpha$  by which  $\ell$  intersects the x-axis in terms of the parameter m.
- $(2\frac{1}{2})$  **b3.** Sketch the family of lines in the  $(\omega, \nu)$ -plane on which  $\hat{f}(\omega, \nu)$  assumes constant values.
- $(2\frac{1}{2})$  **b4.** Under which angle does the normal vector to this family intersect the  $\omega$ -axis?

Below we consider the case

$$u(x,y) = Ae^{-(x^2+y^2)},$$

for some amplitude A > 0. In the following problem you may use the following standard integral, valid for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(\xi+i\eta)^2} d\xi = \sqrt{\pi} \,.$$

- (10) **c.** Compute  $\hat{u}_m(\omega)$ .
- (5) **d.** Suppose the function  $u_m$  is normalized such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_m(x) dx = 1$ . Determine A.

÷